The effects of drought on wood formation

Kyriaki Giagli

Project Adaption strategies in forestry under global climate change impact has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under Grant Agreement No. 952314.



The leading hypothesis

Environmental information is permanently registered in the tree-ring structure

The relationship between the seasonal dynamics of xylem formation and environmental factors

Estimation of the influence of anticipated climate change scenarios on

tree performance,

wood structure and

adjustment to future weather conditions.

The radial stem growth is a complex process which includes cell division, cell expansion, cell wall thickening, lignification and programmed death.



The formation of a xylem element can be divided in five major steps:

- 1. periclinal division of a cambial mother cell that creates a new daughter cell;
- 2. enlargement of the newly formed xylem cell;
- 3. deposition of cellulose and hemi-cellulose to build the secondary cell wall;
- 4. impregnation of the cell walls with lignin; and finally,
- 5. programmed cell death

Rathgeber CBK, Cuny HE and Fonti P (2016) Biological Basis of Tree-Ring Formation: A Crash Course. Front. Plant Sci. 7:734. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00734

- Anatomical features of water-conducting cells have been shown to be reliable ecological indicators, reflecting environmental information different from that stored in tree-ring widths.
- For example, the final size of the water conductive cells (i. e., vessels) can provide information on the environmental conditions that prevailed before and during their formation.
- Vessel features (diameter and vessel area) reflect the relationship between water availability and cell growth.

ENDELU

nd Wood Technoloav

Faculty of Forestry

Soil moisture content (SMC) may be considered to be a critical factor affecting vessel formation and expansion, while being under turgor pressure control.

Title:

The effects of drought on wood formation in *Fagus sylvatica* during two contrasting years.

IAWA Journal 37 (2), 2016: 332-348

BRILL

THE EFFECTS OF DROUGHT ON WOOD FORMATION IN FAGUS SYLVATICA DURING TWO CONTRASTING YEARS

Kyriaki Giagli^{1,*}, Jožica Gričar², Hanuš Vavrčík¹, Ladislav Menšík³, and Vladimír Gryc¹

¹Mendel University in Brno, Faculty of Forestry and Wood Technology, Department of Wood Science, Zemědělská 3, 61300 Brno, Czech Republic ²Slovenian Forestry Institute, Vecna pot 2, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia ³Mendel University in Brno, Faculty of Forestry and Wood Technology, Department of Forest Ecology, Zemědělská 3, 61300 Brno, Czech Republic *Corresponding author; e-mail: giagli@node.mendelu.cz

ABSTRACT

We studied the effect of local weather conditions on intra-annual wood formation dynamics and wood structure of European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) from a temperate location in the Czech Republic in two consecutive years, 2010 and 2011, characterized by different amounts of precipitation. Microcores were taken

IAWA journal /International Association of Wood Anatomists

DOI: 10.1163/22941932-20160137

- Comparison of the seasonal cambium dynamics and differentiation phases, between two successive growing seasons (2010–2011)
- Detection of the influence of certain environmental factors dominating during growing periods

Sampling

- Rajec-Domanka research plot 2.5 km north of the Rajec-Nemcice
- 6 sound 130year-old European beech trees (from 32 41 cm in diameter and 32 37 m in height)
- Measured Parameters:
 Air temperature
 Precipitation
 Soil Moisture content
- Sampling at weekly intervals (March to October)
- Trephor tool (1.8 mm in diameter)
- Microcores at breast height following a spiral up the trunk
- FAA (formalin-alcohol-acetic acid)

49°28'2.977"N, 16°41'18.131"E, 630 a. s. l.

Sample preparation

- Dehydration in ethanol series (70%, 90%, 95%, and 100%), and embedding in paraffin
- Cross sections (12 µm thick), cut with a Leica RM2235 rotary microtome.
- Removal of the paraffin (bioclear)
- Staining with a safranin (0.04%) and astrablue (0.15%) water mixture
- Transfer to an object glass (permanent samples)

The observations and histometrical analyses were performed with a Leica DM 2000 microscope (Leica DFC 295 digital camera/ image processing software program ImageJ).

Long-term (1961–2011; Protivanov weather station) and examined years (2010–2011; Rajec-Domanka research plot) monthly weather conditions.

Month	J	F	М	А	М	J	J	А	S	ο	N	D
Long-term temperature (1961– 2011)	-4.27	-3.95	0.77	5.9	11.5	13.34	15.93	15.46	12.24	7.36	0.74	-1.8
Temperature 2010	-5.60	-2.80	2.20	7.60	10.20	15.50	19.30	16.40	10.70	5.40	4.50	-5.50
Temperature 2011	-1.97	-2.91	3.38	10.19	12.72	16.05	15.4	17.47	14.9	7.93	1.63	0.02
Long form Provinitation (1061												
2011)	25.7	23.3	23.8	29.1	55.5	90.3	44.9	68.3	62.1	28.8	27.6	34.2
Precipitation 2010	46.6	19.3	32.8	23.3	81.6	79.6	60.3	107.7	65.4	5.6	17.2	50.2
Precipitation 2011	14.6	0.0	0.0	29.9	26.5	49.7	95.2	51.9	31.2	21.2	0.0	23.9

Air temperature, soil moisture content (SMC) and average monthly precipitation recorded at the Rajec-Domanka research plot (2010–2011)

Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) depicting the severity of dry conditions during the examined years (2010–2011).

Mean number of cambial cells during growing seasons 2010 and 2011. Standard deviation in error bars.

Wood phenological phases (onset, ending and duration) expressed in the Day of the Year (DOY) recorded for each tree.

Wood phenological phases	2010	2011	P - values
Onset of cambial cell production (DOY)	121 ± 6	112 ± 8	***
End of cambial cell production (DOY)	226 ± 6	206 ± 4	****
Onset of enlargement (DOY)	129 ± 4	126 ± 3	NS
End of enlargement (DOY)	235 ± 6	214 ± 4	****
Onset of secondary wall formation (DOY)	149 ± 4	136 ± 6	**
Onset of maturation process (DOY)	180 ± 3	174 ± 8	NS
End of maturation process (DOY)	266 ± 10	237 ± 6	***
Total duration of cambial cell production (in DOYs)	106 ± 11	93 ± 7	NS
Total duration of radial enlargement (in DOYs)	106 ± 9	88 ± 4	**
Total duration of maturation (in DOYs)	85 ± 10	63 ± 12	*
Total duration of growing period (in DOYs)	145 ± 10	125 ± 10	*

The DOYs represent mean values of the six trees per year (± symbol depicts the standard deviation). Significance (two sample t-test) presented in asterisks (*significant at p < 0.05, **significant at p < 0.005, ***significant at p < 0.001, **** significant at p < 0.0001, NS: not significant).

Average air temperature and soil moisture content (SMC), 10 days before the onset and the ending of each wood phenological phase in 2010 and 2011.

Wood phenological phases	Air T	emperature (°C)	Soil Moisture Content (SMC %)			
wood phenological phases	2010	2011	P - value	2010	2011	P -value	
Onset of cambial cell production	9.6 ± 1.6	9.9 ± 4.0	NS	14.2 ± 1	12.7 ± 0.5	***	
End of cambial cell production	17.7 ± 2.5	14.1 ± 2.1	*	12.2 ± 2	8.2 ± 2	***	
Onset of radial enlargement	9.6 ± 1.6	7.8 ± 4.5	NS	17.9 ± 1	13.5 ± 0.4	****	
End of radial enlargement	16.2 ± 3.0	13.3 ± 1.4	*	11.0 ± 1	7.3 ± 1	****	
Onset of secondary wall formation	12.5 ± 1.1	13.5 ± 3.4	NS	18.4 ± 1	12.8 ± 2	***	
Onset of maturation process	15.2 ± 1.9	16.5 ± 3.4	NS	13.2 ± 1	9.3 ± 1	****	
End of maturation process	11.8 ± 1.5	20.8 ± 3.1	****	8.8 ± 1	5.8 ± 0.4	****	
Total duration of cambial cell production	15.3 ± 5.9	14.6 ± 5.1	****	13.9 ± 4	10.8 ± 3	****	
Total duration of radial enlargement	15.9 ± 5.8	15.2 ± 4.5	****	13.3 ± 4	10.0 ± 3	****	
Total duration of maturation	16.2 ± 5.5	16.2 ± 4.5	NS	10.3 ± 2	7.2 ± 1	****	
Total duration of growing period	14.70 ± 5.6	15.2 ± 5.0	***	13.0 ± 4	9.8 ± 3	****	

Significance (two sample t-test) is presented with asterisks (* for p<0.05, ** for p<0.005, *** for p<0.0001, **** for p<0.0001, NS: not significant).

Average daily increment in 2010 and 2011 growing seasons, first derivatives of Gompertz function. Error bars show standard deviation.

$$y = A \cdot e^{-e^{B-k \cdot t}}$$

y is the weekly cumulative cells,

t is the day of year,

A is the upper asymptote, representing the maximum number of cells,

B is the place on the *x*-axis, estimating the beginning of cambial activity, and *k* is the inflection point on the curve.

According to the first derivatives of the Gompertz function, each quarter was matched with the duration (in DOYs) needed to form the vessels

Number of vessels (mm⁻²), vessel diameter (μ m) and percentage water conductive area (%) per quarter and year (one-way repeated measures ANOVA, p<0.5).

Quarter	Year	2010	2011	F	р
	DOY	126—164	104—138		
	No of vessels (mm ⁻²)	117±51	136±24	6.78	0.03
1 st	Vessel diameter (µm)	60±10	66±10	1.03	0.34
	Water conductive area (%)	43.3±32.2	49.0±18.7	1.74	0.21
	DOY	164—176	138—149		
2 nd	No of vessels (mm ⁻²)	101±26	120±21	10.01	0.01
	Vessel diameter (µm)	61±9	64±6	0.03	0.87
	Water conductive area (%)	41.6±25.2	42.7±16.9	3.24	0.105
	DOY	176—191	149—161		
	No of vessels (mm ⁻²)	86±33	132±34	2.2	0.17
3rd	Vessel diameter (µm)	62±8	56±8	1.4	0.27
	Water conductive area (%)	33.8±21.3	39.2±18.2	2.49	0.149
	DOY	191—244	161—208		
	No of vessels (mm ⁻²)	83±35	109±39	3.34	0.1
4 th	Vessel diameter (µm)	48±4	43±4	6.56	0.03
	Water conductive area (%)	19.4±10.6	19.7±10.0	4.22	0.069

Number of vessels (mm⁻²), vessel diameter (μ m) and percentage water conductive area (%) per quarter and year (one-way repeated measures ANOVA, p<0.5).

Quarter	Year	2010	2011	F	р
Average for the whole ring	No of vessels (mm ⁻²)	97±16	124±12	7.5	0.033
	Vessel diameter (µm)	58±6.6	57±10.5	0.004	0.95
	Water conductive area (%)	34.5±10.9	37.6±12.6	1.135	0.72
	Tree ring width (mm)	1392±677	847±178	18.32	0.002
	No of vessels (mm ⁻²)	109±39	128±23	13.36	0.0015
Average 1st-2nd	Vessel diameter (µm)	60±9	65±8	1.59	0.22
	Water conductive area (%)	42.5±27	45.8±17.3	5.12	0.034
	No of vessels (mm ⁻²)	85±32	120±37	5.92	0.024
Average 3rd-4th	Vessel diameter (µm)	55±10	49±9	1.84	0.189
	Water conductive area (%)	26.7±17.7	29.4±17.3	4.7	0.042

Vessel diameter correlated (Pearson's correlation) with the weather conditions (temperature and soil moisture content - SMC).

	Vessel diameter									
Year	2010				2011					
Quarter	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th		
Period (in DOYs)	116–164	154–176	166–191	181–244	94–138	128–149	193–161	151–208		
Mean Air Temp	832*	.271	319	.040	.229	302	.096	515		
Max Air Temp	510	.131	641	012	.577	904	.591	008		
Min Air Temp	794*	.025	.368	.243	.053	622	.104	470		
Mean SMC	131	103	679	223	.909*	.000	.537	.862*		
Max SMC	209	141	707	281	.831*	687	.510	.861*		
Min SMC	.228	178	637	050	.827*	.653	.498	.867*		

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

No of vessels (mm⁻²) correlated (Pearson's correlation) with the weather conditions (temperature and soil moisture content - SMC).

	No of vessels (mm ⁻²)									
	2010				2011					
Quarter	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th		
Period (in DOYs)	116–164	154–176	166–191	181–244	94–138	128–149	193–161	151–208		
Mean Air Temp	.060	.086	.111	446	.015	433	406	208		
Max Air Temp	012	070	.652	479	034	302	094	049		
Min Air Temp	.577	.049	408	396	179	383	503	242		
Mean SMC	.643	238	.831	.128	.193	076	.765	.693		
Max SMC	.635	168	.850	.185	.667	391	.740	.697		
Min SMC	.808	198	.797	053	.695	.107	.753	.638		

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Percentage of water conductive area (%) correlated (Pearson's correlation) with the weather conditions (temperature and soil moisture content - SMC).

	Water conductive area (%)										
	2010				2011						
Quarter	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th			
Period (in DOYs)	116–164	154–176	166–191	181–244	94–138	128–149	193–161	151–208			
Mean Air Temp	352	.114	.588	027	.095	.095	561	705			
Max Air Temp	067	.045	.625	221	.215	.215	048	290			
Min Air Temp	244	090	.351	.142	142	142	393	639			
Mean SMC	.144	151	.703	.484	.593	.593	.800	.769			
Max SMC	.112	098	.692	.515	.918**	.918**	.762	.756			
Min SMC	.251	126	.762	.445	.930**	.930**	.802	.791			

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

- Tree-ring formation patterns and vessel features showed different responses to climatic factors in the two years.
- In 2010, the onset of cambial cell production occurred almost 10 days later than in 2011.
- Lack of precipitation in 2011 caused premature cessation of cambial cell division and markedly narrower annual xylem increments.
- Vessel density and water conductive area were higher in 2011 than in 2010. Average vessel size did not change.
- In response to local weather conditions, beech controls its hydraulic conductivity mainly by changing the number of vessels and tree growth rate, followed by vessel size.

This research was conducted with support of the project CZ.1.07/2.3.00/30.0031, Postdoc contracts at MENDELU technical and economic research, with the financial contribution of EC and the state budget of the Czech Republic. European Social Fund and the state budget of the Czech Republic.

Project Indicators of Trees Vitality Reg. No. CZ.1.07/2.3.00/20.0265

Fajstavr M*, Giagli K, Vavrčík H, Gryc V, Horáček P, Urban J (2020). The cambial response of Scots pine trees to girdling and water stress. IAWA 41: 159 – 185. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1163/22941932-bja10004

Fajstavr M*, Paschová Z, Giagli K, Vavrčík H, Gryc V, Urban J (2018). Auxin (IAA) and soluble carbohydrate seasonal dynamics monitored during xylogenesis and phloemogenesis in Scots pine. iForest 11: 553 – 562. DOI: 10.3832/ifor2734-011

Martinez del Castillo E^{*}, Prislan P, Gričar J, Gryc V, Merela M, Giagli K, de Luis M, Vavrčík H, Čufar K (2018). **Challenges for growth of beech and co-occurring conifers in a changing climate context**. Dendrochronologia 52: 1 – 10 DOI: org/10.1016/j.dendro.2018.09.001

Fajstavr M*, Giagli K, Vavrčík H, Gryc V, Urban J (2017). The effect of stem girdling on xylem and phloem formation in Scots pine. Silva Fennica 51 (4): 22 (article ID 1760). DOI: org/10.14214/sf.1760

Giagli K*, Gričar J, Vavrčík H, Gryc V (2016). Nine-year monitoring of cambial seasonality and cell production in Norway spruce. iForest - Biogeosciences and Forestry 9: 375 – 382.

Kolář T*, Giagli K, Trnka M, Bednářová E, Vavrčík H, Rybníček M (2016). Response of the leaf phenology and tree-ring width of European beech to climate variability. Silva Fennica 50(2): 18 (article ID 1520). DOI: org/10.14214/sf.1520

MENDELU

and Wood
 Technology

Faculty of Forestry

Thank you for your attention